Case 4:04-cv-00393-CKJ Document 23 Filed 10/25/05 Page 2 of 2

1	filed any objections to the Report and Recommendation. As such, the Court will not consider
2	any objections or new evidence.
3	The Court has reviewed the entire record and concludes that Magistrate Judge
4	Estrada's recommendations are not clearly erroneous. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R.
5	Civ. P. 72; Johnson v. Zema Systems Corp., 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999); Conley v.
6	Crabtree, 14 F. Supp. 2d 1203, 1204 (D. Or. 1998).
7	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:
8	(1) United States Magistrate Estrada's Report and Recommendation (Doc. #22) is
9	ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED.
10	(2) Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. #9) is GRANTED .
11	(3) Defendant's Motion to Remand (Doc. #6) is DENIED , and this case is REMANDED TO
12	THE ALJ FOR AN AWARD OF BENEFITS.
13	(4) The CLERK OF THE COURT SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT ACCORDINGLY
14	AND CLOSE THE FILE IN THIS MATTER.
15	
16	DATED this 25 th day of October, 2005.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Curicy K. Jorgenson
22	Cindy K. Jozenson
23	United States District Judge
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	